



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,438	09/11/2003	Branko D. Kovacevic	1376-0200220	7502
34456	7590	01/10/2008	EXAMINER	
LARSON NEWMAN ABEL POLANSKY & WHITE, LLP			BUI, BRYAN P	
5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE				
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78730			2153	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/10/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,438	KOVACEVIC, BRANKO D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bryan P. Bui	2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a method for receiving a data stream of multimedia data with an unknown protocol, and determining the protocol of the multimedia data based on the portion of the data stream, classified in **class 709, subclass 231**.
- II. Claims 15-24, drawn to a multimedia processing system for identifying a packet type associated with a transport stream, and storing the transport stream and packet type in memory, classified in **class 725, subclass 145**.
- III. Claims 25-28, drawn to a method for processing a multiplexed data stream of multimedia based on the first and second protocol, classified in **class 709, subclass 230**.
- IV. Claims 29-36, drawn to a method for identifying a multiplexed data stream of multimedia, and determining a packet length associated with the portion of the multiplexed data stream, classified in **class 370, subclass 473**.
- V. Claims 37-45, drawn to a method for identifying a value of the portion of the multiplexed data stream, classified in **class 725, subclass 48**.

Inventions I, II, III, IV and V are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as

claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination, which is receiving a data stream of multimedia with the unknown protocol and determining the protocol of the multimedia data, as claimed does not require identifying a packet type of the transport stream; identifying a multiplexed data stream of multimedia data; determining a packet length associated with the portion of the multiplexed data stream of multimedia data; identifying a value of the portion of the multiplexed data stream, which are the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as identifying a packet type of the transport stream, identifying a multiplexed data stream and determining the packet length associated with the portion of the multiplexed data stream, and identifying a value of the portion of the multiplexed data stream.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I (claims 1-14) would require use of search **Class 709, subclass 231** (not required for invention II, III, IV and V); Group II (claims 15-24) would require use of search **Class 725, subclass 145** (not required for invention I, III, IV and V); Group III (claims 25-28) would require use of search **Class 709, subclass 230** (not required for invention I, II, IV and V); Group IV (claims 29-36) would require use of search **Class 370, subclass 473** (not required for invention I, II, III and V); Group

V (claims 37-45) would require use of search **Class 725, subclass 48** (not required for invention I, II, III and IV), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan P. Bui whose telephone number is (571)270-1981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B Burgess can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BB

9L T b7